

## Chronic kidney disease, creatinine and cognitive functioning

Merrill F. Elias<sup>1</sup>, Penelope K. Elias<sup>2</sup>, Stephen L. Seliger<sup>3</sup>, Sriram S. Narsipur<sup>4</sup>, Gregor A. Dore<sup>2</sup> and Michael A. Robbins<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA, <sup>3</sup>Division of Nephrology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA and <sup>4</sup>Departments of Medicine and Pediatrics, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, USA

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Merrill F. Elias; E-mail: mfelias@aol.com

### Abstract

**Background.** Non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease (CKD) is related to cognitive impairment. Previous studies have not explored the extent of impairment across multiple cognitive domains. We examined the range of specific cognitive abilities affected by CKD and whether the associations of CKD with cognition were eliminated by statistical control for cardiovascular disease correlates of CKD.

**Methods.** We performed a community-based cross-sectional study with 923 individuals free from dementia and end-stage renal disease. Two groups were defined based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR): eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> versus eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Outcome measures were scores from multiple clinical tests of specific cognitive abilities. The GFR classifications and serum creatinine levels were related to measures of cognitive performance using logistic and linear regression analyses with three sets of covariates: (1) basic (age, education, gender and race); (2) basic+risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and (3) basic+risk factors for CVD+stroke.

**Results.** An eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> was present in 142 (15.4%) individuals; the mean (SD) eGFR in this subgroup was 49.7 (10.7). CKD was related to lower cognitive performance despite adjustment for CVD risk factors (CVD-RF). Adjusting for CVD-RF and stroke, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals associated with performing in the lowest quartile of the distribution of the Global, Visual-Spatial Organization/Memory and Scanning and Tracking scores for the eGFR < 60 group were 1.97 (1.25, 3.10); 1.88 (1.21, 2.93) and 1.83 (1.56, 2.87),  $P < 0.01$  with eGFR ≥ 60 group as the reference group.

**Conclusions.** Global performance and specific cognitive functions are negatively affected early in CKD. Targeted screening for cognitive deficits in kidney disease patients early in their disease course may be warranted.

**Keywords:** cardiovascular disease; chronic kidney disease; cognitive performance; serum creatinine

### Introduction

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) and less advanced stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD) are associated with cognitive impairment [1–10]. The relation between CKD and cognitive impairment is an important public health issue because the prevalence of CKD in the United States increased from 10% between 1988 and 1994 to 13% between 1999 and 2004, and may rise further in the future [11].

Prior studies examining CKD and cognitive function have reported an association of CKD with general cognitive function, incident dementia and functioning cognitive abilities related to verbal learning, visual attention, mental flexibility and executive functioning [5–10]. However, these studies either used clinic-based study samples, and thus have more limited generalizability or employed a limited battery of neurocognitive tests.

The mechanisms proposed as mediators of relations between kidney function and cognition are similar to those that have been advanced to explain relations between other risk factors for cardiovascular disease and cognition, e.g. atherosclerosis, clinical stroke, silent stroke, oxidative stress and white matter lesions [7,12,13]. A number of traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) including blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, body mass index (BMI), smoking and low-HDL (high-density lipoprotein) cholesterol have been identified as among the most important independent risk factors for the onset of kidney disease [14].

In the present study, we examined the relations of CKD and lower renal filtration function with a broad range of cognitive functions in a dementia-free community-based population. We examined these relations before and after adjustment for major CVD-RF [14] as well as for other variables that confound relations between CKD and cognition [15–17]. We hypothesized that modest attenuation of the magnitude of relations between CKD and cognition with adjustment for major CVD-RF would occur, but that significant associations would remain.

## Methods

### Sample and design

The data were obtained from the sixth wave of the Maine-Syracuse Longitudinal Study (MSLS), a community-based study of CVD-RF [18,19]. Participants were recruited from the Syracuse, NY, area for studies of cognition and blood pressure with no requirements for participation other than non-institutionalization, absence of diagnosed psychiatric disorder and/or alcoholism. Between 2001 and 2006 (wave 6), data necessary to examine a broad range of cognitive measures and CVD-RF, including creatinine were obtained for the first time.

Beginning with 1047 study participants, individuals were excluded in the following sequence: (1) data necessary to calculate eGFR were missing ( $n = 82$ ); (2) dementia ( $n = 9$ ); (3) active dialysis treatment ( $n = 4$ ) and (4) under 40 years of age ( $n = 29$ ). The final sample consisted of 781 participants with  $\text{eGFR} \geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$  and 142 participants with  $\text{eGFR} < 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$  (CKD group). The age exclusion was employed because of a major imbalance in age between the two eGFR groups when persons  $< 40$  years old were included.

The clinical diagnosis of dementia was determined from cognitive data and medical records using the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Diseases and Stroke/Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRD) criteria [20]. Exclusion of dementia cases was based on our interest in characterizing relationships between kidney disease and cognition in persons who vary in cognitive ability, but who have not suffered major impairment.

### Procedures

The participants completed the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) [21] within 1 week prior to neuropsychological testing. Following a fast from midnight, a blood sample was drawn in the morning, followed by a light breakfast, medical history, multiple automated blood pressure measurements (GE Dinamap 100DPC-120XEN) and neuropsychological assessment. All assay methods have been defined previously [18,19]. Serum creatinine (sCR) was determined using a two-point rate test type on a Johnson and Johnson Vitros Instrument. Coefficients of variation for these procedures were  $< 5.0\%$ . The eGFR was estimated using the four-variable (sCR, age, sex and ethnicity) Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study equation [22,23]. Our definition of CKD in this study does not include albuminuria.

Determinations of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP), serum vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL (low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol and glucose, homocysteine (Hcy) and standard ApoE genotyping were performed as previously described [18,19,24]. To be classified as anaemic, a diagnosis of anaemia or treatment for anaemia, as established by medical records, was necessary. Haemoglobin levels and complete blood count (CBC) were not available to the study, as we did not anticipate an examination of kidney function as a risk factor at the time the data were collected.

Mean systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) were based on at least 15 BP measurements (5 sitting, 5 standing and 5 reclining). Hypertension was defined as  $\text{SBP} \geq 140 \text{ mmHg}$  and/or  $\text{DBP} \geq 90 \text{ mmHg}$  regardless of medication. Additional covariates used or considered in various analyses described later were as follows: race/ethnicity, defined as African Americans versus other, treatment with antihypertensive medications, treatment with anti-cholesterol medications, diabetes mellitus, body mass index (BMI,  $\text{kg/m}^2$ ), self-report of number of cigarettes smoked per week, alcohol consumption (ounces per week), triglyceride levels, plasma homocysteine (Hcy), self-reported presence of CVD confirmed by medical records and/or treatment and stroke. Diabetes mellitus was defined by treatment with insulin, oral anti-diabetic agents or fasting glucose level of  $126 \text{ mg/dL}$  ( $7 \text{ mmol/L}$ ) or higher. CVD was defined as the presence of any one of the following: myocardial infarction (4.3%), coronary artery disease (8.1%), heart failure (2.5%), angina pectoris (6.0%) and transient ischaemic attack (3.7%) [16,17]. Stroke, defined as a focal neurological deficit of acute onset persisting more than 24 h, was based on self-report or medical records, confirmed by record review, hospitalization or both.

### Cognitive tests and domains

The cognitive outcome measures were four relatively independent and theory-based composite scores that define the following cognitive domains: Verbal Episodic Memory, Visual-Spatial Organization and Mem-

**Table 1.** Descriptions of the cognitive tests contributing to each composite score indexing a cognitive domain<sup>a</sup>

Composite scores (domains) and tests that define them	Cognitive ability measured
Verbal Episodic Memory	
Logical memory—immediate recall <sup>b</sup>	Immediate memory, verbal
Logical memory—delayed recall <sup>b</sup>	Delayed memory, verbal
Hopkins Verbal Learning Test	Verbal learning and memory
Visual-Spatial Organization/Memory	
Visual reproductions—immediate recall <sup>b</sup>	Immediate recall, visual memory, and visual-spatial problem solving
Visual reproductions—delayed recall <sup>b</sup>	Delayed recall, visual memory and visual-spatial problem solving
Matrix reasoning <sup>c</sup>	Abstract reasoning and pattern recognition
Block design <sup>d</sup>	Visual-spatial perception, organization and construction
Object assembly <sup>d</sup>	Speed of visual-spatial organization
Hooper Visual Organization	Visual-spatial organization; some demands on executive function
Scanning and Tracking	
Trail making A <sup>e</sup>	Visual scanning and tracking; concentration and attention
Trail making B <sup>e</sup>	Trails A plus demands on executive function abilities
Digit symbol substitution <sup>d</sup>	Psychomotor performance
Symbol search <sup>c</sup>	Visual processing speed
Working Memory	
Digit span forward <sup>d</sup>	Attention and concentration
Digit span backward <sup>d</sup>	Attention, concentration and working memory
Letter-number sequence <sup>c</sup>	Information processing while holding information in memory
Controlled Oral Word Associations	Verbal fluency and executive functioning
Similarities	Verbal intelligence and abstract reasoning

<sup>a</sup>The tests employed in each composite score/domain define the abilities measured by that domain. A general description of the cognitive processes required by each domain of functioning may be found in the text.

<sup>b</sup>Origin Wechsler Memory Scale—revised.

<sup>c</sup>Origin Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III.

<sup>d</sup>Origin Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale.

<sup>e</sup>Origin Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery.

ory (VSOM), Scanning and Tracking and Working Memory. Briefly, Verbal Episodic Memory is required for learning and memory of oral or written material such as instructions. Visual-Spatial Organization and Memory is required for problem solving with respect to visual-spatial relationships. Scanning and Tracking requires attention and concentration and places demands on organization and planning (executive functioning). Working Memory requires holding information in short-term memory while manipulating the information needed to execute a task [25–29].

The number of composite scores and the tests [25–29] used to define each composite (domain of cognitive functioning) were based on principal components and orthogonal rotation analyses employed in previous studies with this sample [18,19] and confirmed in the present study. The 17 tests composing the composite scores (domains) are described in Table 1. Similarities, a measure of abstract reasoning, correlated nearly equally with each of the above domains and thus was treated as a separate measure and only included in the Global composite.

Where necessary, raw test scores were first normalized (log to the base 10 transformation) to achieve a normal distribution. Each individual's original (raw) test score was then transformed to a  $z$  score, a linear

transformation that allows all performance measures to be expressed in the same units of measurement (SD units) and to have a distribution of scores with mean = 0 and a SD = 1 [30]. Each individual's composite scores were the sum of the equally weighted [31,32] *z* scores making up the composite divided by the number of tests in the composite and then re-transformed to *z* scores as the final step. The Global score, based on all tests, was calculated in the same way. See Supplement 1 for more details on scoring.

The University of Maine IRB approved the protocol for this investigation. Informed consent for data collection was obtained from all participants and we adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Statistical analyses

In the first set of analyses we compared the differences between the  $\text{eGFR} \geq 60$  and  $\text{eGFR} < 60$  groups using logistic regression analysis, thus permitting a determination of odds ratios associated with poor performance, operationally defined as a cognitive score on any of the outcome measures falling in the lowest quartile of the distribution of test scores. This criterion for relatively poor performance (cognitive deficit) has been used in previous studies, including publication of norms [33–35]. A person performing at an average level within the lowest quartile of our sample would have a *z* score 1.34 SD below the mean of the entire distribution of test scores ( $z = -1.34$ ).

The distributions of  $\text{eGFR}$  did not meet requirements for linear regression analysis as a continuously distributed variable [36] despite various transformations. Moreover, while the four-variable MDRD study equation is reasonably accurate for assigning participants to GFR groups based on  $\text{eGFR} < 60$  and  $\geq 60$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> values, it is of limited accuracy in estimating  $\text{eGFR}$  at higher levels [22,23]. Thus, following the Cardiovascular Health Study [10], in the second set of analyses we employed a normalized distribution of creatinine (1/sCR) values in linear regression analyses relating renal insufficiency to cognitive performance. Because we adjusted for factors aside from renal function that are known to influence serum creatinine (i.e. age, gender and body mass index), the observed associations of 1/sCR with cognitive performance in these multivariate models can be interpreted as representing primarily the effects of renal filtration function. Using the general linear model (SAS version 9.1), multivariable regression analyses were performed separately for each independent variable.

The statistical models were based on the identification of independent predictors of new-onset kidney disease in the Framingham Heart Study [14], many of which are also hypothesized risk factors for neurocognitive deficit [15–17]. For each of the major analyses, i.e. linear regression using 1/sCR and the logistic regression analyses using GFR groups, sets of covariates were introduced in serial stepwise order: (1) basic model = age (years) + gender + education (years) + race; (2) basic + CVD-RF model = basic model + mean SBP + diabetes mellitus + BMI + HDL cholesterol + number of cigarettes smoked per day and (3) basic model + CVD-RF model + Stroke. Additional covariates described in the results section were employed in planned secondary sets of analyses.

Residual plots, absence of non-linear trends ( $P$ -values  $> 0.20$ ) and a low Variance Inflation Factor, 1.14–1.50, [36,37] confirmed the appropriateness of the straight-line fit for 1/sCR and the absence of collinearity. However, Hcy was highly correlated with creatinine, Pearson's  $r = 0.43$ , and may be a proxy for renal disease. Thus, it was not included as a covariate in the analyses.

## Results

Demographic, health and kidney function characteristics of our non-demented and well-educated cross-sectional, community-based sample of middle aged to elderly adults (60% women; 7.3% African American) are presented in Table 2. Participants with CKD were older, exhibited higher CRP, higher mean SBP, higher Hcy, lower HDL cholesterol, higher creatinine, consumed moderately less alcohol and there was a higher proportion of persons with diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

**Table 2.** Demographic and health characteristics of participants by level of renal function

Variable	$\text{eGFR} \geq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ( $n = 781$ )		$\text{eGFR} < 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ( $n = 142$ )		<i>P</i> -value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age (years)	62.3	11.7	68.5	11.6	0.0001
Education (years)	14.6	2.7	14.2	2.7	0.1341
CRP (mg/L)	4.0	4.4	5.0	5.9	0.0152
Homocysteine (mmol/L)	9.5	3.3	13.2	4.8	0.0001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	202.0	39.7	202.6	42.6	0.8707
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	53.9	15.6	51.1	14.3	0.0424
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	121.0	33.3	119.7	34.6	0.6737
Mean SBP, exams 1–6 (mmHg)	131.2	19.0	137.5	20.6	0.0004
Mean DBP, exams 1–6 (mmHg)	73.1	10.6	73.8	11.4	0.4590
Cigarettes/day	1.3	5.3	1.2	4.5	0.9600
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.4	6.0	29.9	6.0	0.4100
Alcohol (oz/week)	1.5	2.8	1.0	1.7	0.0222
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.9	0.2	1.4	0.7	0.0001
$\text{eGFR}$ (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	83.4	14.3	49.7	10.7	0.0001
	Percent		Percent		
Women	58.2		63.8		0.2536
African American	7.3		7.3		0.8508
Diabetes mellitus	12.6		23.9		0.0002
ApoE-ε4	26.4		27.5		0.7848
Folate deficiency ( $> 3$ ng/mL)	0.3		1.4		0.1051
B <sub>12</sub> deficiency ( $< 200$ pg/mL)	3.3		4.2		0.6010
Depressed mood (CES-D $> 16$ )	10.3		12.1		0.5249
Anaemia	1.7		4.2		0.0742
Stroke	2.3		6.4		0.0404
Cardiovascular disease <sup>a</sup>	13.6		26.1		0.0002

<sup>a</sup>Cardiovascular disease includes a diagnosis of myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, heart failure, angina pectoris and transient ischaemic attack.

Table 3 summarizes the odds ratios associated with performance in the lowest quartile as a function of membership in the  $\text{GFR} < 60$  group with  $\text{GFR} \geq 60$  serving as the reference group. With adjustment for the basic covariate set, significantly higher odds of poor performance were associated with membership in the  $\text{GFR} < 60$  group for the Global, VSOM and Scanning and Tracking composites and Similarities (abstract reasoning). With adjustment for the Basic + CVD-RF and the Basic + CVD-RF + Stroke covariate sets, the same significant results were obtained except for a non-significant association of CKD with Similarities. There was only a modest attenuation of odds ratios with adjustment for the covariate sets. Thus, for example, odds of performance score in the lowest quartile for the Global Composite score were 2.27, 1.97 and 1.97, respectively with adjustment for the Basic, Basic + CVD-RF and the Basic + CVD-RF + Stroke covariate sets. Results for individual test scores are summarized in Supplement 2.

Risk of cognitive deficit for persons in the  $\text{GFR} < 60$  group can be put in further perspective by comparing them with risk associated with diabetes mellitus, an established risk factor for neurocognitive deficit [38], using the Global Composite scores as an example. The odds ratio associated

**Table 3.** Results of logistic regression analysis showing odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) for performing in the lowest quartile of cognitive scores for persons with GFR < 60 (GFR ≥ 60 is the reference group) and *P*-values for differences between groups

Cognitive measure	Basic model <sup>a</sup>			Basic + CVD-RF <sup>b</sup>			Basic + CVD-RF + Stroke <sup>c</sup>		
	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value
Global Composite	2.27	1.47, 3.52	0.0002	1.97	1.25, 3.09	0.0032	1.97	1.25, 3.10	0.0035
Visual-Spatial Organization and Memory	2.00	1.31, 3.07	0.0016	1.88	1.21, 2.93	0.0051	1.88	1.21, 2.93	0.0052
Verbal Memory	1.37	0.91, 2.08	0.1319	1.24	0.81, 1.90	0.3145	1.26	0.82, 1.93	0.2947
Working Memory	1.38	0.91, 2.09	0.1285	1.20	0.78, 1.83	0.4088	1.21	0.79, 1.87	0.3810
Scanning and Tracking	2.01	1.30, 3.12	0.0018	1.83	1.17, 2.87	0.0086	1.83	1.56, 2.87	0.0094
Similarities (abstract reasoning)	1.58	1.01, 2.48	0.0475	1.39	0.87, 2.21	0.1665	1.38	0.87, 2.21	0.1738

<sup>a</sup>Covariates in the Basic model are age, sex, education and race.<sup>b</sup>Covariates in the Basic + CVD-RF model are age, sex, education, race, diabetes, SBP, BMI, cigarettes/day and HDL.<sup>c</sup>Covariates in the Basic+ CVD-RF + Stroke model are age, sex, education, race, diabetes, SBP, BMI, cigarettes/day, HDL and stroke.**Table 4.** Summary of *P*-values describing the significance of the regression coefficients relating creatinine to the cognitive measures and the difference (decrement) in performance (*z* scores) associated with an increment in the creatinine level from 1 to 2 mg/dL

Cognitive measure	Basic model <sup>a</sup>		Basic + CVD-RF <sup>b</sup>		Basic + CVD-RF + Stroke <sup>c</sup>	
	<i>P</i> -value	2 vs 1 mg/dL	<i>P</i> -value	2 vs 1 mg/dL	<i>P</i> -value	2 vs 1 mg/dL
Global Composite	0.009	−0.1480	0.031	−0.1207	0.040	−0.1152
Visual-Spatial Organization and Memory	0.010	−0.1551	0.026	−0.1338	0.576	−0.0377
Verbal Episodic Memory	0.373	−0.0600	0.527	−0.0426	0.028	−0.1327
Working Memory	0.117	−0.1088	0.265	−0.0774	0.280	−0.0752
Scanning and Tracking	0.009	−0.1506	0.023	−0.1303	0.042	−0.1163
Similarities (abstract reasoning)	0.148	−0.0933	0.301	−0.0666	0.577	−0.0329

<sup>a</sup>Covariates in the Basic model are age, sex, education, and race.<sup>b</sup>Covariates in the Basic + CVD-RF model are age, sex, education, race, diabetes, SBP, BMI, cigarettes/day and HDL.<sup>c</sup>Covariates in the Basic + CVD-RF + Stroke model are age, sex, education, race, diabetes, SBP, BMI, cigarettes/day, HDL and stroke.

with diabetes for deficit on the Global Composite score was 1.60 (95% CI = 1.02, 2.51); the odds ratio associated with low eGFR was considerably higher, at 2.27 (95% CI = 1.47, 3.52).

Table 4 summarizes the results of linear regression analyses employing 1/sCR as the predictor. In order to make the regression coefficients meaningful in terms of specific creatinine levels, they are expressed as the difference in performance levels (*z* scores) between 1 and 2 mg/dL creatinine (as predicted by the regression equation). The minus signs indicate a decrement in performance with an increase in creatinine from 1 to 2 mg/dL. Regardless of adjustment for the various covariates, higher levels of sCR were associated with lower cognitive performance levels for the Global, VSOM and Scanning and Tracking composites for all three sets of covariates. For example, comparing participants with creatinine levels of 1.0 mg/dL versus 2.0 mg/dL, with adjustment for age, education, gender and race, the participant with the higher creatinine level would be predicted to score −0.15 SD (15% of 1 SD lower) on the VSOM composite score (Table 4).

Composite scores do not have raw (original) scores, but the results for individual tests can be expressed as raw scores and *z* scores. Thus, the meaning of the levels of deficit expressed in *z* scores (units of SD) in Table 4 can be illustrated by comparing predicted *z* scores and original raw test scores for a test that contributed significantly to the VSOM score in the 1/sCR analysis, i.e. the Object Assembly

Test. The predicted decrement in performance for persons with 2 mg/dL creatinine versus 1 mg/dL creatinine is 1.86 raw score points (*z* = −0.20 or 20% of 1 SD).

The following covariables were added to the basic model for the logistic and linear regression analyses described above: depressed mood (CES-D > 16) [21], CRP, alcohol consumption, ApoE genotype, anti-hypertensive drugs (yes/no), anti-cholesterol drugs (yes/no) and cardiovascular disease (yes/no). The pattern of significant results was the same as that previously reported (Tables 3 and 4). Further, the pattern of results was unchanged when either mean DBP or hypertension were substituted for SBP and when total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol or triglycerides were substituted for HDL in the Basic + CVD-RF covariate set.

## Discussion

The odds of performance decrement in the Global, VSOM and Scanning and Tracking performance domains of functioning were significantly higher for those with low eGFR regardless of the statistical models employed. Similarly, higher levels of creatinine were associated with lower levels of performance for the Global, VSOM and Scanning and Tracking domains even after adjustment for demographics, cardiovascular risk factors and stroke.

Poor performance on the Global score is consistent with previous studies employing the MMSE [5] or a six-item

telephone screening instrument [2] and reflects the general trend across all measures for lower levels of performance in relation to higher creatinine levels and membership in the GFR < 60 group. However, it is important to note that the Global Composite score is not directly comparable to the MMSE or telephone screening measures. The MMSE was designed to be a measure of mental status and is subject to significant ceiling effects (most test questions are insufficiently difficult) in persons who are free from dementia. While particularly useful for large population studies of kidney disease, the six-item screening instrument is a relatively insensitive measure of cognitive function and does not test specific cognitive abilities [2].

Our findings demonstrate a decreased performance level within specific domains of cognition in association with modestly lower renal function. For example, the risk of performing in the lowest quartile for Global, VSOM and Scanning and Tracking is doubled in the presence of GFR < 60 and approaches this level with adjustment of the covariates. Diabetes is well established as a risk factor for cognitive impairment [33,38], but the odds ratios associated with performance in the lowest quartile for the Global Composite score were less for diabetics (OR = 1.60) than for persons in the GFR < 60 group (OR = 2.27). Given the high prevalence of CKD in the USA, the public health implications of moderate decrements in performance for CKD patients are considerable [11].

Neuropathological changes in the brain that parallel changes in kidney have been posited as mechanisms explaining relationships between CKD and cognition [34]. These include atherosclerosis, microvascular disease, clinical stroke, silent stroke, oxidative stress and white matter lesions [7,12,13,39,40]. For example, in a recent study of homebound elderly individuals, albuminuria was associated with lower levels of executive function ability and with white matter hyperintensities and white matter volume [41].

Disease-related depression can contribute to lower cognitive performance but our results were robust to statistical adjustment of depressive symptoms. The absence of neuroimaging studies in the present investigation prevents us from inferring which specific areas of the brain are associated with the observed cognitive deficits. However, it is clear that specific cognitive domains are more vulnerable to moderate CKD, i.e. VSOM and Scanning and Tracking abilities. Deficits in VSOM manifest in deficits in visual pattern recognition, immediate and delayed memory for visual materials, and organization and problem solving in a visual-spatial context [28,29]. Deficits in Scanning and Tracking reflect lowered ability in attention, concentration, visual processing speed, psychomotor performance and planning resulting in difficulty with completing an organized visual search [28,29]. In contrast, neither Working Memory nor Verbal Episodic Memory was significantly associated with CKD. Patients exhibiting the pattern of cognitive deficits seen in this study would not be expected to have difficulty in remembering well-organized specific instructions for medications and fluid and dietary restrictions presented verbally or in writing, but may experience difficulty when challenged by higher order demands on visual-spatial memory and organization, concentration, scanning

and tracking, psychomotor and executive skills, e.g. aviation and air traffic control.

There are two broader concerns: (1) modest cognitive deficits, especially deficits in visual-spatial skills, are risk factors for later, more serious impairments in cognition, including dementia [42,43,44] and (2) more severe cognitive impairment extending to memory may be seen in more advanced CKD [13,45]. Earlier studies have shown that cognitive impairment is a complication of advanced pre-ESRD and ESRD patients on maintenance dialysis [1–6,13]. Our results indicate that cognitive function is reduced even in patients with only moderate reductions in GFR, and that visual-spatial organization and memory and scanning and tracking functions are particularly vulnerable. Thus awareness and treatment of cognitive deficits should begin early in the progression of kidney disease.

### *Limitations*

The absence of haemoglobin determinations and standardization of creatinine values are limitations because the study was not designed to delineate the association of kidney disease with cognition at the time of data collection. However, our community-based study permitted us to examine relationships between CKD and cognition in a non-clinical sample, unselected for kidney disease and with blinded testing procedures.

Our participants were relatively highly educated. Education is protective of cognitive performance [46,47]; consequently, our findings may underestimate the magnitude of relations between renal function and cognition. We used eGFR data obtained on only one occasion to define CKD. However, our eGFR criterion was consistent with previous community-based studies and the Hope 2 trial that defined CKD in this manner [7–9,48]. Acute decrements in renal function would not be anticipated in our relatively healthy community-based study participants. Finally, our study was cross-sectional and thus does not allow us to determine causal directions between predictor and covariates.

### *Strengths*

Strengths of our study include (1) a community-based sample; (2) data on CKD and multiple cardiovascular risk factors and (3) an extensive battery of neuropsychological tests organized into theoretically relevant cognitive domains.

### *Perspectives*

Hopefully our investigation will encourage much needed longitudinal studies of cognitive decline in mild renal disease. Improvements in cognition following kidney transplant [45] offer encouragement for intervention strategies at the ESRD stage, but it is clear that early recognition and treatment of modest cognitive deficits in CKD is important [49] because patients with modest deficits are at risk for the more serious cognitive impairments typical of ESRD.

*Acknowledgements.* This study was supported by grants 1R01-HL67358 and 1R01 HL081290 from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute,

National Institutes of Health (USA), and research grant AG03055 from the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health (USA).

*Conflict of interest statement.* None declared.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available online at <http://ndt.oxfordjournals.org>.

## References

- Madero M, Gul A, Sarnak MJ. Cognitive function in chronic kidney disease. *Semin Dial* 2008; 21: 29–37
- Kurella Tamura M, Wadley V, Yaffe MD *et al.* Kidney function and cognitive impairment in US adults: the reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008; 52: 227–234
- Hart RP, Pederson JA, Czerwinski AW *et al.* Chronic renal failure, dialysis, and neuropsychological function. *J Clin Neuropsychol* 1983; 5: 301–312
- Murray AM, Tupper DE, Knopman DS *et al.* Cognitive impairment in hemodialysis patients is common. *Neurology* 2006; 67: 216–223
- Kurella M, Chertow GM, Luan J *et al.* Cognitive impairment in chronic kidney disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004; 52: 1863–1869
- Thornton WL, Shapiro RJ, Deria S *et al.* Differential impact of age on verbal memory and executive functioning in chronic kidney disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2007; 13: 344–353
- Kurella M, Chertow GM, Fried LF *et al.* Chronic kidney disease and cognitive impairment in the elderly: the health, aging and body composition study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16: 2127–2133
- Kurella M, Yaffe K, Shlipak MG *et al.* Chronic kidney disease and cognitive impairment in menopausal women. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005; 45: 66–76
- Hailpern SM, Melamed ML, Cohen HW *et al.* Moderate chronic kidney disease and cognitive function in adults 20 to 59 years of age: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; 18: 2205–2213
- Seliger SL, Siscovick DS, Stehman-Breen CO *et al.* Moderate renal impairment and risk of dementia among older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Cognition Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2004; 15: 1904–1911
- Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA *et al.* Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA* 2007; 298: 2038–2047
- Seliger SL, Longstreth WT Jr. Lessons about brain vascular disease from another pulsating organ, the kidney. *Stroke* 2008; 39: 5–6
- Murray AM. Cognitive impairment in the aging dialysis and chronic kidney disease populations: an occult burden. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2008; 15: 123–132
- Fox CS, Larson MG, Leip EP *et al.* Predictors of new-onset kidney disease in a community-based population. *JAMA* 2004; 291: 844–850
- Desmond DW, Tatemichi TK, Paik M *et al.* Risk factors for cerebrovascular disease as correlates of cognitive functioning in a stroke-free cohort. *Arch Neurol* 1993; 50: 162–166
- Elias MF, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB *et al.* Framingham stroke risk profile and lowered cognitive performance. *Stroke* 2004; 35: 404–409
- Elias MF, Sullivan LM, Elias PK *et al.* Left ventricular mass, blood pressure and lowered cognitive performance in the Framingham offspring. *Hypertension* 2007; 49: 439–445
- Elias MF, Robbins MA, Budge MM *et al.* Homocysteine, folate, and vitamins B<sub>6</sub> and B<sub>12</sub> blood levels in relation to cognitive performance: The Maine-Syracuse Study. *Psychosom Med* 2006; 68: 547–554
- Elias MF, Robbins MA, Budge MM *et al.* Homocysteine and cognitive performance: modification by the ApoE genotype. *Neurosci Lett* 2008; 430: 64–69
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M *et al.* Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984; 34: 939–944
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977; 1: 385–401
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB *et al.* (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group). A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; 130: 461–470
- Rule AD, Gusak HM, Pond GR *et al.* Measured and estimated GFR in healthy potential kidney donors. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; 43: 112–119
- Hixson JE, Vernier DT. Restricting isotyping of human apolipoprotein E by gene amplification and cleavage with *Hha*I. *J Lipid Res* 1990; 31: 545–548
- Wechsler D. *Manual for the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*. New York: Psychological Corporation, 1955
- Wechsler D. *Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Manual*. New York: Psychological Corporation, 1987
- Wechsler D. *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 1997
- Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW. *Neuropsychological Assessment*, 4th edn. New York: Oxford University Press, 2004
- Reitan RM, Wolfson D. *The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery: Theory and clinical interpretation*, 2nd edn. Tucson, AZ: Neuropsychology Press, 1993
- Heiman GW. *Basic Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences*, 5th edn. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin, 2006; 116–137
- Massaro JM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Sullivan LM *et al.* Managing and analyzing data from a large-scale study on Framingham Offspring relating brain structure to cognitive function. *Stat Med* 2004; 23: 351–367
- Comrey AL, Lee HB. Interpretation and application of factor analytic results. In: *A First Course on Factor Analysis*, 2nd edn. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1992; 250–254
- Elias PK, Elias MF, D'Agostino RB Sr *et al.* NIDDM and blood pressure as risk factors for poor cognitive performance: the Framingham Study. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 1388–1395
- Au R, Elias MF, Sullivan L *et al.* New norms for a new generation: cognitive performance in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. *Exp Aging Res* 2004; 30: 333–358
- Dore GA, Elias MF, Robbins MA *et al.* Cognitive performance and age: norms from the Maine-Syracuse Study. *Exp Aging Res* 2007; 33: 205–271
- Kleinbaum DG, Kupper LL, Muller KE. *Applied Regression Analysis and other Multivariate Methods*, 2nd edn. Belmont, CA: Duxbury Press and PWS-Kent Publishing, 1988
- Hair JF Jr, Anderson RE, Black WC *et al.* *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 5th ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1998
- Strachan MW, Deary IJ, Ewing FM *et al.* Is type II diabetes associated with an increased risk of cognitive dysfunction? A critical review of published studies. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 438–445
- Barzilay JI, Fitzpatrick AL, Luchsinger J *et al.* Albuminuria and dementia in the elderly: a community study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008; 52: 216–226
- O'Rourke MF, Safer ME. Relationship between aortic stiffening and microvascular disease in brain and kidney. Cause and logic of therapy. *Hypertension* 2005; 46: 200–204
- Weiner DE, Bartolomei K, Scott T *et al.* Albuminuria, cognitive functioning and white matter hyperintensities in homebound elders. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008; 53: 438–447
- Kawas CH, Corrada MM, Brookmeyer R *et al.* Visual memory predicts Alzheimer's disease more than a decade before diagnosis. *Neurol* 2003; 60: 1089–1093.

43. Zonderman AB, Glambra LM, Arenberg D *et al.* Changes in immediate visual memory predict cognitive impairment. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 1993; 10: 111–123
44. Elias MF, Beiser A, Wolf PA *et al.* The preclinical phase of Alzheimer disease: a 22-year prospective study of the Framingham cohort. *Arch Neurol* 2000; 57: 808–813
45. Griva K, Thompson D, Jayasena D *et al.* Cognitive functioning pre- to post-kidney transplantation—a prospective study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21: 3275–3282
46. Farmer ME, Kittner SJ, Rae DS *et al.* Education and change in cognitive function. The Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study. *Ann Epidemiol* 1995; 5: 1–7
47. Le Carret N, Lafont S, Letenneur L *et al.* The effect of education on cognitive performance and its implication for the constitution of the cognitive reserve. *Dev Neuropsychol* 2003; 23: 317–337
48. Mann JF, Sheridan P, McQueen MJ *et al.* Homocysteine lowering with folic acid and B vitamins in people with chronic kidney disease—results of the renal Hope-2 study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 645–653
49. Weiner DE. The cognition-kidney disease connection. Lessons from population-based studies in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2008; 52: 201–204

*Received for publication: 6.8.08; Accepted in revised form: 20.2.09*

*Nephrol Dial Transplant* (2009) 24: 2452–2457

doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfp124

Advance Access publication 26 March 2009

## Screening for kidney disease in vascular patients: SCReening for Occult RENal Disease (SCORED) experience

Heejung Bang<sup>1</sup>, Madhu Mazumdar<sup>1</sup>, George Newman<sup>2</sup>, Andrew S. Bomback<sup>3,4</sup>, Christie M. Ballantyne<sup>5</sup>, Allan S. Jaffe<sup>6</sup>, Phyllis A. August<sup>7,8</sup> and Abhijit V. Kshirsagar<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosensory Sciences, Albert Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, <sup>3</sup>Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina, <sup>4</sup>University of North Carolina Kidney Center, Chapel Hill, NC, <sup>5</sup>Section of Atherosclerosis and Lipoprotein Research, Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, and Center for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention, Methodist DeBakey Heart Center, Houston, TX, <sup>6</sup>Cardiovascular Division, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, <sup>7</sup>Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Department of Medicine and <sup>8</sup>Division of Outcomes and Effectiveness Research, Department of Public Health, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY, USA

*Correspondence and offprint requests to:* Heejung Bang; E-mail: heb2013@med.cornell.edu

### Abstract

**Background.** SCReening for Occult RENal Disease (SCORED) is a novel screening guideline recently developed to identify individuals with a high likelihood of having prevalent chronic kidney disease (CKD). This simple scoring system, developed from general US representative samples and independently validated, was shown to outperform current clinical practice guidelines. Recently, CKD screening in individuals with cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been emphasized. We therefore evaluated the SCORED model in CVD patients in order to better understand the implications of CKD screening in this population.

**Methods.** Two clinical trials that enrolled patients with heart attack ( $N = 2481$ ) or stroke ( $N = 3680$ ) were combined to create our sample. The performance of the SCORED guideline was evaluated by standard diagnostic measures. Correlations among various risk scores and their predictive abilities for recurrent CVD were ascertained.

**Results.** For heart attack and stroke patients, respectively, the SCORED guideline yielded sensitivity of 94 and 97%, specificity of 27 and 11%, positive predictive value of 32 and 30%, negative predictive value of 93 and 89%, with

AUC of 0.75 and 0.68. SCORED was strongly correlated with other risk scores and exhibited a similar performance in the prediction of recurrent CVD.

**Conclusions.** The higher risk of CKD in CVD patients with high SCORED values is demonstrated. This simple education and screening tool may help promote awareness of CKD in CVD patients, in addition to general populations, and assess the CKD risk and its relationship with recurrent CVD.

**Keywords:** CKD; CVD; ENRICH; VISP

### Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) are each major public health problems that share risk factors and present in similar populations [1,2]. Yet, awareness for potential CKD by healthcare professionals and lay persons remains low among at-risk populations, including those with CVD. Indeed, a joint science advisory